



**FURTHER SUBMISSION ON ERRORS AND OMISSIONS ADDENDUM
TO THE SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED ON
THE PROPOSED NATURAL RESOURCES PLAN
FOR THE WELLINGTON REGION**

26 April 2016

To: Greater Wellington Regional Council
Further Submissions on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region
Freepost 3156
PO Box 11646
Manners Street
WELLINGTON 6142

By Email regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Name: Powerco Ltd
Private Bag 2061
NEW PLYMOUTH 4342

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE BURTON PLANNING CONSULTANTS
Level 1, 2-8 Northcroft Street
PO Box 33-817, Takapuna
AUCKLAND 0740.

Attention: Nadine Perera

Phone: 09 917 4315
Fax: 09 917 4311
Email: nperera@burtonconsultants.co.nz
Ref: 15/050

- 1 POWERCO'S FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ARE CONTAINED IN THE ATTACHED TABLE**
- 2 POWERCO WISHES TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF THIS SUBMISSION**
- 3 IF OTHERS MAKE A SIMILAR SUBMISSION, POWERCO WOULD BE PREPARED TO CONSIDER PRESENTING A JOINT CASE AT ANY HEARING.**
- 4 POWERCO COULD NOT GAIN AN ADVANTAGE IN TRADE COMPETITION THROUGH THIS FURTHER SUBMISSION.**
- 5 POWERCO ARE DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY AN EFFECT OF THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE SUBMISSION THAT-**
 - (A) ADVERSELY AFFECTS THE ENVIRONMENT; AND**
 - (B) DOES NOT RELATE TO TRADE COMPETITION OR THE EFFECTS OF TRADE COMPETITION.**

Dated at TAKAPUNA this 26th day of April 2016
Signature of person authorised to sign on behalf of Powerco



Nadine Perera
Principal Planner

Address for Service:

(as per cover sheet)
BURTON PLANNING CONSULTANTS LIMITED
PO Box 33-817
Takapuna, 0740
AUCKLAND

Attention: Nadine Perera

Phone: 09 917 4315
Fax: 09 917 4311
Email: nperera@burtonconsultants.co.nz

Name of Person/ Group making Original Submission	Original Submission Number	Provision	Support/ Oppose Original Submission	Parts of the Submission Supported/Opposed	Reasons	Relief Sought Allow/ Disallow
Forest Owner Marketing Services Ltd	S435/006	Rule R99: Earthworks-Permitted Activity	Support in part.	Keep it as it was in the previous plan or align it with NESPF rule for earthworks. Leave the quantity of earthworks as they are now by requiring an erosion and sediment control plan on erosion prone land and available to the council on request (as NESPF)	The submission is supported in so far as it seeks to impose the limits of the operative regime instead of the more restrictive notified proposed rule. The proposed Rule provides for up to 3,000m ² of earthworks per property per 12 month period. The Operative rule is 1,000m ³ of soil within any 10,000m ² per 12 month period. The new proposed regime is arbitrary in that it gives no consideration to the effects of actual activities in terms of the size of land holdings.	Allow in part by amending Rule R99 to provide for earthworks to occur without specifying that the earthworks are per property.
Forest Owner Marketing Services Ltd	S435/011	5.5.2 Wetlands General Conditions	Support	Reword the condition [c] as "...shall be removed from the river or lake within five working days" to be aligned within NESPF.	The submission is supported in that it is appropriate to provide for machinery, equipment and materials used for an activity to be removed from a natural wetland within 5 working days following the completion of construction rather than every night during construction as required by the rule as notified.	Allow
NZ Deer Farmers' Association - Wairarapa	S434/022	Rule R101: Earthworks and Vegetation Clearance - Discretionary Activity	Support in part	Change R101 to controlled or restricted discretionary with clear conditions	Rule 101 relates to earthworks and vegetation clearance, where stormwater is discharged into water or onto or into land where it may enter water, not provided for as a permitted activity. It may be appropriate to provide for such activities as a controlled and/or restricted discretionary activity where specific conditions/performance criteria are met. Any activities not meeting these specific criteria could then be considered as a discretionary activity. Rather than providing controlled and/or restricted discretionary activity status within Rule 101 it is necessary to provide another rule or rules for controlled and/or restricted discretionary activities where specific conditions/performance standards can be specified. If Rule 101 is amended to provide for controlled and/or restricted discretionary status any activity not fitting the criteria for either activity status would, by default, become a non-complying activity. Such a situation is more restrictive than that provided by the rule as notified, is unnecessary and should be avoided.	Allow in part by retaining Rule 101 and providing an additional rule or rules for controlled and/or restricted discretionary activities where specific conditions and/or performance criteria can be met.