

Submitter ID:

File No:

Further Submission

on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region



Fold here

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991.

Please complete this form to make a further submission on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region (**PNRP**). All sections of this form need to be completed for the submission to be accepted.

A further submission may only be made by a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, or a person that has an interest in the PNRP greater than the interest that the general public has, or the Wellington Regional Council itself. A further submission must be limited to a matter in support of, or in opposition to, a submission made on the PNRP.

For information on making a further submission see the Ministry for the Environment website:
www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/rma/everyday-guide-rma-making-submission-about-proposed-plan-or-plan-change

Return your signed further submission to the Wellington Regional Council by post or email by 5pm Tuesday 29 March 2016 to:

Greater Wellington Regional Council
Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan
for the Wellington Region
Freepost 3156
PO Box 11646
Manners Street
Wellington 6142

Regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Fold here

FreePost Authority Number 3156



Greater Wellington Regional Council
Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region
Freepost 3156
PO Box 11646
Manners Street
Wellington 6142

FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM

This is a further submission in support of, or opposition to, a submission on the PNRP.

A. DETAILS OF FURTHER SUBMITTER

FULL NAME

Ian George Jensen

ORGANISATION (* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of)

N/A

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE (INCLUDING POSTCODE)

182 Te Hapua Road
RD1
Otaki 5581

PHONE

0274 436517

FAX

EMAIL

iandesign@xtra.co.nz

Only certain people may make further submissions

Please tick the option that applies to you:

- I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or
 I am a person who has an interest in the PNRP that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Specify below the grounds for saying that you are within the category you have ticked.

I am the owner of land classified as a Wetland Ecological site, by both KCDC and the Regional Council, GWRC that is directly impacted by a number of the provisions as set in the PNRP. These will directly affect our ability to sustainably manage the Natural and Physical resources of our property. In doing so we may well not be able to provide for our Social & Economic well being, while enabling activities that safe guard the life supporting capacity of ecosystems. Included is provisions that other submitters have suggested by adding, deleting or amending in part and or in full.

Service of your further submission

Please note that you must **serve a copy of this further submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after this further submission has been provided to Wellington Regional Council.**

If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served on each original submitter.

Signature: Ian G. Jensen.

Date: March 28, 2016

Signature of person making further submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the further submission. A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Please note

All information contained in a further submission under the Resource Management Act 1991 becomes public information. All further submissions will be put on our website and will include all personal details included in the further submission.

B. APPEARANCE AT HEARING

Please select from the following:

- I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or
 I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and, if so,
 I would be prepared to consider presenting this further submission in a joint case with others making a similar further submission at any hearing.

Please enter further submission points in the table on the following pages

C. FURTHER SUBMISSION POINTS

Please complete the following table with details of which original submission points you support and/or oppose, and why, adding further rows as necessary.

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
Name of person/ group making original submission and postal address.	The original submission number can be found on the submitter address list.	Whether you support or oppose the submission.	Indicate which parts of the original submission (which submission points) you support or oppose, together with any relevant PNRP provisions.	Why you support or oppose each submission point.	The part or whole of each submission point you wish to be allowed or disallowed.
<i>e.g.</i> Joanne Bloggs 12 Pine Tree Avenue Redwood	<i>e.g.</i> submitter S102	<i>e.g.</i> Oppose	<i>e.g.</i> Oppose all of submission point S102/41	<i>e.g.</i> The submission point does not recognise...	<i>e.g.</i> Disallow the parts of S102/41 relating to...
Maypole Environmental Ltd PO Box 51-282 Tawa Wellington 5249	143/015	Support	Whole Submission	Identifies shortcomings in the PNRP with respect to Wetland Management Plans	Allow relief sought by Submitter.
	143/016	Support	Whole Submission	Identifies shortcomings in the PNRP with respect to Wetland Management Plans	Allow relief sought by Submitter.
Waa Rata Estate 149 Terrace Road RD1 Waikanae 5391	152/002	Support	Whole Submission	The Restoration Management Plan concept is supported, however the process proposed in the plan (Rule 106, Schedule F3a and definitions) is poorly defined, lacks clear direction as to process. Two approval processes are required and without direction there is a likely hood of low implementation.	Allow relief sought by Submitter by amending and consolidating the following: Rule 106; Schedule F3a and the Definition of a Restoration Management Plan.
	152/080	Support	Part related to Rule 106	Reasoning as above	Allow relief sought by Submitter.

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
Porirua City Council PO Box 50218, Porirua 5240	163/117	Support	Whole Submission	Agree that requiring two separate applications is unreasonable and unnecessary.	Allow relief sought by Submitter.
	163/119	Support	Relief sought	The Submitter has rightly determined that this rule is unreasonably restrictive. It does not allow for assessments and determinations to be considered, where minor reclamation with off-setting could pave a way for significant long term benefits to the 'Outstanding' Natural Wetland and be an entirely appropriate action to take.	Allow the relief sought by Submitter.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand PO Box 715 Wellington 6140 Att Elizabeth McGruddy	352/039	Support	Relief sought	The Submitter has correctly defined that there are deficiencies in how the assessments have been tabulated. Also highlighted is the approach of other Territorial Authorities approach, so requests that some consistency country-wide are achieved.	Allow the relief sought by Submitter
	352/219	Support	Relief sought	In reference to 039 above this follows as an inconsistent approach.	Allow the relief sought by Submitter
Royal Forrest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand PO Box 631 Wellington 6140	353/143	Oppose	Whole Submission	The Submitter requests Rule 106 be retained. In line with other submissions I support the requests to make changes to those rules.	Disallow in respect to changes as outlined in Submissions and cross Submissions.
	353/147	Support in part/Oppose in part	Support that there are issues with the drafting of Rule 111 in terms of what is reclamation/ Oppose the relief sought.	The Submitter correctly highlights that there are issues with the term "reclamation" as it is used in Rule 111. This issue is further confused by referencing the Definitions in Section 2.2 in respect to the Coastal Marine area.	Delete the word reclamation and replace with the activities that are prohibited.

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				Rule 111 is specific to “Outstanding Natural Wetlands” some of which are outside of the Coastal Marine area. The relief sought retains the word ‘reclamation’ and is too broad as any activity has the potential to “change the characteristics of a wetland”.	
Minister of Conservation RMA Shared Services Private Bag 3072 Hamilton 3240	75/145	Oppose	The relief sought, to retain as notified.	Conflicts with modifications sought to Rule 106. Conflicts with other Submissions that request a new rule to allow modifications the Wetland Margins or other areas as appropriate.	Disallow in respect to changes as outlined in Submissions and Cross Submissions.
	75/150	Oppose	The relief sought, to retain as notified.	Conflicts with other Submissions that request changes to Rule 111.	Disallow in respect to changes as outlined in Submissions and cross Submissions/

If you require more space for additional comments, please insert new rows as needed